1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California JOSHUA A. ROOM Supervising Deputy Attorney General CARTER OTT Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 221660 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 510-3485 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 E-mail: Carter.Ott@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant BEFOR	E THE	
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10 11	FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: DIANOVA FOUNDATION d.b.a. DIANOVA INSTITUTE Application for Approval to Operate for an Institution Non-Accredited Respondent.	Case No. 1004095 OAH No. 2019050827 SECOND AMENDED STATEMENT OF ISSUES	
19	<u>PARTIES</u>		
20	1. Dr. Michael Marion, Jr. (Complainant) brings this Second Amended Statement of		
21	Issues solely in his official capacity as the Chief of the Bureau for PrivatePostsecondary		
22	Education, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
23	2. On or about July 7, 2017, the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education received an		
24	Application for Approval to Operate for an Institution Non-Accredited. On or about November		
25	14, 2018, the Bureau denied the application. On or about January 14, 2019, Respondent		
26	requested an administrative hearing regarding the Bureau's denial of its application.		
27	///		
	1		

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Second Amended Statement of Issues is brought before the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs (Director) for the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Education Code unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Section 94887 states:

"An approval to operate shall be granted only after an applicant has presented sufficient evidence to the bureau, and the bureau has independently verified the information provided by the applicant through site visits or other methods deemed appropriate by the bureau, that the applicant has the capacity to satisfy the minimum operating standards. The bureau shall deny an application for an approval to operate if the application does not satisfy those standards."

STATUTES AND REGULATIONS

- 5. Section 94811 states:
- "Ability-to-benefit student' means a student who does not have a certificate of graduation from a school providing secondary education, or a recognized equivalent of that certificate."
 - 6. Section 94908 states:
- "Any information or statement required by this article to be included in the catalog, School Performance Fact Sheet, or enrollment agreement shall be printed in at least the same size font as the majority of the text in that document."
 - 7. Section 94909, subdivision (a) states, in part:
- "(a) Except as provided in subdivision (d), prior to enrollment, an institution shall provide a prospective student, either in writing or electronically, with a school catalog containing, at a minimum, all of the following:
- . . .

- "(8) A detailed description of institutional policies in the following areas:
- "(A) Admissions policies, including the institution's policies regarding the acceptance of credits earned at other institutions or through challenge examinations and achievement tests, admissions requirements for ability-to-benefit students, and a list describing any transfer or

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Enrollment Agreement)

(Educ. Code §§ 94887, 94908, 94909, subd. (a)(9) and 94911, subd. (a); and Cal. Code Regs., title 5, § 71800, subd. (e)(2))

- 12. Respondent's application is subject to denial because Respondent failed to offer compliant enrollment agreements. (Educ. Code §§ 94887, 94908, 94909, subd. (a)(9) and 94911, subd. (a); and Cal. Code Regs., title 5, § 71800, subd. (e)(2).) In particular:
- a. Respondent's proposed enrollment agreement contains confusing, misleading, and/or conflicting statements regarding the total number of credits required to complete the educational program. (Educ. Code § 94911, subd. (a).) In particular, Respondent's proposed enrollment agreement, at page 1, contains a statement reading "You must complete 252 credit hours to satisfy this program's requirements." But pursuant to Respondent's enrollment requirements, students are required to complete 252 clock hours, not credit hours. In addition, Respondent's proposed enrollment agreement, at page 1, contains a statement reading "It takes 8 weeks to complete this program." This conflicts with another statement in the proposed enrollment agreement stating "Institute Course are generally ten (10) weeks long."
- b. Respondent's proposed enrollment agreement contains a confusing and potentially misleading statement related to the schedule of total charges for a period of attendance. ((Educ. Code § 94909, subd. (a)(9) and Cal. Code Regs., title 5, § 71800, subd. (e)(2).) In particular, page 4 of Respondent's proposed enrollment agreement includes a Registration Fee which, in two lines, both reads that the "Registration Fee Today" is \$0 and also that the registration fee is "only to be paid in the case of a refund (not paid upon enrollment)." This is confusing and potentially misleading because, if the fee is meant to be assessed only upon cancellation, the enrollment agreement should refer to is as a cancellation fee, not a registration fee. If the fee is, in fact, a registration fee, it should be charged accordingly for all students.
- c. A chart, contained on page 3 of Respondent's proposed enrollment agreement, contains information and/or legally-required statements that are printed in a font that is smaller than the majority of the text in that document. (Educ. Code § 94908.)

///

	II .	
1	THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION (School Catalog)	
2	(Educ. Code §§ 94887 and 94908)	
3	13. Respondent's application i	s subject to denial because Respondent failed to offer a
4	compliant school catalog. (Educ. Code §§ 94887 and 94908). In particular, a chart, contained or	
5	page 26 of Respondent's proposed catalog, contains information and/or legally-required	
6	statements that are printed in a font that is smaller than the majority of the text in that document.	
7	<u>PRAYER</u>	
8	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,	
9	and that following the hearing, the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs issue a	
10	decision:	
11	1. Denying Respondent's Application for an Approval to Operate an InstitutionNon-	
12	Accredited; and	
13	2. Taking such other and furt	her action as deemed necessary and proper.
14		
15	D 4 TED ((2/12/2020))	((O : : 1 :
16	DATED: <u>"2/13/2020"</u>	"Original signature on file"
17		DR. MICHAEL MARION, JR. Chief
18		Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education Department of Consumer Affairs
19		State of California Complainant
19		Comptantant
20		
21	SF2019200390 21809987.docx	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		