BEFORE THE DIRECTOR DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

AMERICAN INNOVATION UNIVERSITY, formerly, SILICON VALLEY UNIVERSITY

2010 Fortune Drive

San Jose, CA 95131

Institution Code: 42693428

BPPE Case No.: BPPE22-673

OAH Case No.: 2024070829

Respondent.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby accepted and adopted by the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs as the Decision in the above-entitled matter.

This Decision shall be	come effective on	April 23	, 2025.	
It is so ORDERED	March 18	, 2025.		
"Original Signature on File"				
RYAN MARCROFT				
	DEPUTY DIRECTOR, LEGAL AFFAIRS DIVISION			
	DEPARTMENT	OF CONSUMER A	FFAIRS	

	JI		
1	ROB BONTA		
2	Attorney General of California JOSHUA A. ROOM		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General CARTER OTT		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 221660		
5	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004		
6	Telephone: (415) 510-3485 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480		
7	E-mail: Carter.Ott@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFOR	Е ТНЕ	
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. BPPE22-673	
12	AMERICAN INNOVATION	OAH No. 2024070829	
13	UNIVERSITY, formerly SILICON	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND	
14	VALLEY UNIVERSITY 2010 Fortune Drive	DISCIPLINARY ORDER FOR PUBLIC REPROVAL	
15	San Jose, CA 95131		
16	Institution Code Number 42693428	[Bus. & Prof. Code § 495]	
17	Respondent.		
18			
19	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGRI	EED by and between the parties to the above-	
20	entitled proceedings that the following matters are	true:	
21	<u>PART</u>	<u>TES</u>	
22	Deborah Cochrane (Complainant) is the Chief of the Bureau for Private		
23	Postsecondary Education. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is		
24	represented in this matter by Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of California, by Carter		
25	Ott, Deputy Attorney General.		
26	2. Respondent American Innovation Uni	versity, formerly known as Silicon Valley	
27	University, is represented in this proceeding by David C. Lee and Natalie M. Cuadros, Nossaman		
28	LLP, 50 California St 34th floor, San Francisco, CA 94111.		
ľ	1		

JURISDICTION

- 2. On or about May 24, 2021, the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education (Bureau) issued Approval to Operate an Institution Non-Accredited Institution Code Number 42693428 (Approval to Operate) to Silicon Valley University, a California corporation. On or about May 7, 2024, the Bureau approved Silicon Valley University's request to change its name to American Innovation University (Respondent). Respondent's Approval to Operate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein, and will expire on May 24, 2026, unless renewed.
- 3. Accusation Number BPPE22-673 was filed before the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs (Director), for the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education (Bureau), and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on or about June 27, 2024. A copy of Accusation Number BPPE22-673 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.
- 4. Respondent timely filed its Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. On or about November 15, 2024, Respondent provided documents and other information responding to the causes for discipline alleged in Accusation Number BPPE22-673.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation Number BPPE22-673. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of its legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at its own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against it; the right to present evidence and to testify on its own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in the Second, Cause for Discipline in Accusation Number BPPE22-673.
- 9. With regard to the First, Third, Fourth, and Fifth Causes for Discipline alleged in Accusation Number BPPE22-673, Respondent understands and agrees that those charges and allegations, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon its Approval to Operate. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the First, Third, Fourth, and Fifth Causes for Discipline, and that Respondent hereby gives up its right to contest those charges.
- 10. Respondent agrees that its Approval to Operate is subject to discipline and agrees to be bound by the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

11. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs or the Director's designee. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Bureau may communicate directly with the Director and staff of the Department of Consumer Affairs regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or its counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that it may not withdraw its agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Director considers and acts upon it. If the Director fails to adopt this stipulation as the Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Director shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

| ///

28 | ///

- 12. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 13. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Director may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Approval to Operate an Institution Non-Accredited Institution Code Number 42693428, held by Respondent American Innovation University shall be publicly reproved by the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education (Bureau), pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 495, in resolution of Accusation Number BPPE22-673, attached as Exhibit A.

Pending and New Applications. Within 15 days of the effective date of the Decision, Respondent shall withdraw its current application for Change in Educational Objectives related to the Master of Science in Computer Science (MSCS) degree program. If Respondent does not withdraw the application, the Bureau shall deny the application. Respondent hereby waives its right to appeal that denial.

In addition, for a period of two years after the effective date of the Decision, Respondent shall not apply to the Bureau for any new programs pursuant to Education Code section 94885.5.

Cost Recovery. No later than 90 days after the effective date of the Decision, Respondent shall pay \$17,990.13 to the Bureau for its costs associated with the investigation and enforcement

1	I have read and fully discussed with Jerry Shiao, Respondent American Innovation		
2	University's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the terms and conditions and		
3	other matters contained in the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval.		
4	I approve its form and content.		
5			
6	DATED:		
7	DAVID C. LEE NOSSAMAN LLP Attorney for Respondent		
8			
9			
10	ENDORSEMENT		
11	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby		
12	respectfully submitted for consideration by the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs.		
13	DATED: February 3, 2025 Respectfully submitted,		
14 15	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California		
16	JOSHUA A. ROOM Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
17	(mucos		
18			
19	CARTER OTT Deputy Attorney General		
20	Attorneys for Complainant		
21			
22			
23	SF2023402410 / 44477810.docx		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
- 1	4		

of this matter pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 125.3. If Respondent fails to pay the Bureau costs as ordered, Respondent shall not be allowed to renew its Approval to Operate an Institution Non-Accredited Institution Code Number 42693428 until Respondent pays costs in full. In addition, the Bureau may enforce this order for payment of its costs in any appropriate court, in addition to any other rights the Bureau may have.

Full Compliance. As a resolution of the charges in Accusation Number BPPE22-673, this stipulated settlement is contingent upon Respondent's full compliance with all conditions of this Order. If Respondent fails to satisfy any of these conditions, such failure to comply constitutes cause for discipline, including outright revocation, of Approval to Operate an Institution Non-Accredited Institution Code Number 42693428.

ACCEPTANCE

I am authorized, as Respondent American Innovation University's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to enter into this stipulation on behalf of Respondent American Innovation University. I have carefully read the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval and have fully discussed it with my counsel, David C. Lee. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on Institution Non-Accredited Institution Code Number 42693428. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

DATED: 7/3/2025

JERRY SHIAO
Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial
Officer, on behalf of
AMERICAN INNOVATION UNIVERSITY;
Respondent

|

1	I have read and fully discussed with Jerry Shiao, Respondent American Innovation			
2	University's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the terms and conditions and			
3	other matters contained in the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval.			
4	I approve its form and content.			
5				
6	DATED: 2/3/25			
7	DAVID C. LEE NOSSAMAN LLP			
8	Attorney for Respondent			
9	•			
10	<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>			
11	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby			
12	respectfully submitted for consideration by the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs.			
13	DATED.			
14	DATED: Respectfully submitted,			
15	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California			
16	JOSHUA A. ROOM Supervising Deputy Attorney General			
17				
18	CARTER OTT			
19	Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant			
20				
21				
22	SF2023402410 / 44477810.docx			
23				
24				
25				
26	•			
27				
28				

Exhibit A

Accusation No. BPPE22-673

- 1	I .		
1	ROB BONTA		
2	Attorney General of California JOSHUA A. ROOM		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General CARTER OTT		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 221660		
5	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004		
6	Telephone: (415) 510-3485		
ļ	Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 E-mail: Carter.Ott@doj.ca.gov		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFOR	E THE	
9	DEPARTMENT OF CO	DNSUMER AFFAIRS	
10	FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12			
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case Number BPPE22-673	
14	AMERICAN INNOVATION	1.72	
15	UNIVERSITY, formerly SILICON VALLEY UNIVERSITY	ACCUSATION	
16	2010 Fortune Drive San Jose, CA 95131		
17	Approval to Operate Institution Non-	*	
18	Accredited Number 42693428		
19	Respondent.		
20	PART	<u>ries</u>	
21	Deborah Cochrane (Complainant) brit	ngs this Accusation solely in her official	
22	capacity as the Chief of the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education, Department of		
23	Consumer Affairs.		
24	2. On or about May 24, 2021, the Bureau	ı for Private Postsecondary Education (Bureau)	
25	issued Approval to Operate an Institution Non-Accredited Institution Code Number 42693428 to		
26	Silicon Valley University, a California corporation. On or about May 7, 2024, the Bureau		
27	approved Silicon Valley University's request to cl	nange its name to American Innovation	
28	<i>IIIII</i>		
		1	

ACCUSATION
In the Matter of the Accusation Against American Innovation Univ., fma Silicon Valley Univ. (Case No. BPPE22-673)

"(5) The directors, administrators, and faculty are properly qualified.

. . .

- "(8) Adequate records and standard transcripts are maintained and are available to students.
- "(9) The institution is maintained and operated in compliance with this chapter and all other applicable ordinances and laws."

7. Section 94886 states:

"Except as exempted in Article 4 (commencing with Section 94874) or in compliance with the transition provisions in Article 2 (commencing with Section 94802), a person shall not open, conduct, or do business as a private postsecondary educational institution in this state without obtaining an approval to operate under this chapter."

8. Section 94932 states:

"The bureau shall determine an institution's compliance with the requirements of this chapter. The bureau shall have the power to require reports that institutions shall file with the bureau in addition to the annual report, to send staff to an institution's sites, and to require documents and responses from an institution to monitor compliance. When the bureau has reason to believe that an institution may be out of compliance, it shall conduct an investigation of the institution. If the bureau determines, after completing a compliance inspection or investigation, that an institution has violated any applicable law or regulation, the bureau shall take appropriate action pursuant to this article."

STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS

9. Section 94834 states:

"Distance education' means transmission of instruction to students at a location separate from the institution."

10. Section 94893 states:

"If an institution intends to make a substantive change to its approval to operate, the institution shall receive prior authorization from the bureau. Except as provided in subdivision (a) of Section 94896, if the institution makes the substantive change without prior bureau authorization, the institution's approval to operate may be suspended or revoked."

In the Matter of the Accusation Against American Innovation Univ., fma Silicon Valley Univ. (Case No. BPPE22-673)

ACCUSATION

committed a violation, the bureau may place an institution on probation or may suspend or revoke an institution's approval to operate for:

...

"(2) A material violation or repeated violations of this chapter or regulations adopted pursuant to this chapter that have resulted, or may result, in harm to students. For purposes of this paragraph, "material violation" includes, but is not limited to, misrepresentation, fraud in the inducement of a contract, and false or misleading claims or advertising, upon which a student reasonably relied in executing an enrollment agreement and that resulted, or may result, in harm to the student."

15. California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71630 states, in part:

"(a) An institution seeking to change its name shall complete the 'Change of Name' form (NAME rev. 2/10) to obtain prior authorization. The form shall be submitted to the Bureau along with the appropriate fee as provided in Section 94930.5(c) of the Code. For an institution approved under section 94885 of the code it shall be signed and dated by the signatory(ies) required by section 71380, for an institution approved under section 94890 of the code it shall be signed and dated by the signatory(ies) required by section 71390, and each fact stated therein and each attachment thereto shall be declared to be true under penalty of perjury, in the following form:

"I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing and all attachments are true and correct.

- "(Date)
- ""(Signature)"
- "(b) The application shall include:
- "(1) The name, school code, address, and telephone and fax numbers of the institution;
- "(2) The proposed new name;
- "(3) A detailed explanation of the reasons for the proposed change in name;
- "(4) Copies of advertising and other statements to be disseminated to the public in any manner by the institution or its representatives that announce or use the proposed name;

between students and faculty by such means as telecommunication, correspondence, electronic and computer augmented educational services, postal service, and facsimile transmission. In addition to the other requirements of this chapter and the Act, an institution offering distance education shall:

"(3) ensure that the materials and programs are current, well organized, designed by faculty competent in distance education techniques and delivered using readily available, reliable

0.51

technology;

- "(5) maintain clear standards for satisfactory academic progress;
- "(6) timely complete student evaluations of learning outcomes by duly qualified faculty, which are appropriate for use with the distance education methods used, and evaluated by duly qualified faculty.
- "(7) employ a sufficient number of faculty to assure that (A) the institution's response to, or evaluation of, each student lesson is returned to the student within 10 days after the lesson is received by the institution; and (B) the institution's response to, or evaluation of, each student project or dissertation is returned to the student within the time disclosed in the catalog; and
- "(8) shall maintain a record of the dates on which lessons, projects, and dissertations were received and responses were returned to each student."
 - 18. California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71740, subdivision (d)(2) states:
- "(d) An institution that depends for library and other learning resources primarily on other institutions' collections and resources not in its possession shall do all of the following:

. . .

"(2) Provide students and faculty with access to the regular services of a professional librarian or information specialist experienced in the electronic retrieval of information, who shall provide support for faculty in curriculum matters and actively serve as a resource guide for both graduate and undergraduate students."

////

19. California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 74117 states:

"In addition to the requirement in Section 94913(b) of the Code, an institution that maintains a website shall provide on the homepage of that website clear and conspicuous links to all the items required in Section 94913(a) of the Code."

COST RECOVERY

- 20. Section 94937, subdivision (a) states that the "bureau may seek reimbursement pursuant to Section 125.3 of the Business and Professions Code."
- 21. Business and Professions Code section 125.3 provides, in part, that the Bureau may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

PART I - RESPONDENT'S WEBSITE

- 22. Respondent maintains a website for its school, located at www.svuca.org.

 Respondent's website, in part, reads "Full Financial Aid Available." But Respondent is not accredited and therefore financial aid is not available to cover student tuition.
- 23. In addition, a review of Respondent's website showed that it does not contain student brochures provided by Respondent as well as a link to the Bureau's website.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Prohibited Business Practice - Untrue or Misleading Statement)

- 24. Respondent subjected its Approval to Operate to discipline for making untrue or misleading statements. (Educ. Code §§ 94937, subd. (a)(2) and 94897, subds. (j)(2) and (q)).) As stated in paragraph 22, above:
- a. Respondent made an untrue or misleading statement related to financial information, including information or records relating to the student's eligibility for student financial aid at the institution, in violation of Section 94897, subdivision (j)(2).

28 ////

b. Respondent made a material untrue or misleading statement to a student or prospective student under the institution's authority or the pretense or appearance of the institution's authority, in violation Section 94897, subdivision (q).

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Website Requirements)

- 25. Respondent subjected its Approval to Operate to discipline in that Respondent's institution website fails to contain clear and conspicuous links to all the items required by Section 94913(a). (Educ. Code §§ 94937, subd. (a)(2) and 94913, subds. (a)(3) and (a)(4); and Cal. Code Regs., title 5, section 74117.) In particular, as stated in paragraphs 22 and 23, above, Respondent's website fails to provide the following:
- a. Student brochures offered by the institution, as required by Section 94913, subdivision (a)(3); and
- b. A link to the Bureau's Internet website, as required by Section 94913, subdivision (a)(4).

PART II – INSTRUCTION AND PROGRAMS

- 26. In issuing Respondent's Approval to Operate, the Bureau granted Respondent provisional approval to offer, provide instruction in, and award a Masters of Science Degree in Computer Science via either direct instruction or hybrid instruction. Direct instruction "requires the physical presence of one or more students and one or more faculty members at the same location. Direct instruction includes instruction presented in a classroom, seminar, workshop, lecture, colloquium, laboratory, tutorial, or other physical learning settings consistent with the mission, purposes, and objectives of the institution." (Cal. Code Reg., title 5, § 71715, subd. (c).)
- 27. Hybrid instruction means a mix of direct instruction and distance education. Distance education "means transmission of instruction to students at a location separate from the institution" "by such means as telecommunication, correspondence, electronic and computer augmented educational services, postal service, and facsimile transmission." (Educ. Code §94834; and Cal. Code Reg., title 5, § 71715, subd. (d).)

////

- 28. Schools offering distance education use various online systems (referred to as "Learning Management Systems" or "LMS") to create, distribute, and manage the delivery of educational content. Schools offering distance education also may choose to offer a livestream of their instruction via a real-time video streaming software program (or "Livestream") such as Zoom. The Bureau previously approved Respondent's use of an LMS called "Moodle" and a Livestream program called "Big Blue Button" to provide distance education.
- 29. In or about July 2023, Bureau staff conducted an evaluation of Respondent's distance education methods, and found the following issues of concern:
- a. No instructional materials were found in the LMS. The textbook is identified, and the syllabus is posted; however, there are no accessible project prompts, exams, or other formal assessments. There is no observable evidence that "LMS is used for [...] required completion of course assignments and projects," as Respondent indicated when it obtained its Approval to Operate.
- b. It is not clear what a student is required to do because there do not appear to be any recent posts showing assignments or clarifications. Also, on or about July 27, 2023, via Respondent's Livestream, Bureau staff observed a professor asking a student repeatedly what work the student had done in a way that seemed to imply neither the professor nor the student were clear about what should have been completed during the previous week.
- c. Neither assessments nor a mechanism for formal assessment was observed; there were no assignments identified or deadlines noted in the LMS.
- d. The gradebook shows only these categories: Projects (a single-graded event), a Midterm, and a Final, totaling 100%. No actual required assessments, assignments, or deadlines were noted in the LMS.
- e. Outside of a brief disclosure in the syllabus that "[a]ll students are encouraged to use library-collected reference books," there is no evidence of a research requirement being articulated or enforced.

¹ https://docs.moodle.org/404/en/About_Moodle

² https://bigbluebutton.host/rtmp-live-streaming/

- f. Via Respondent's Livestream, the Bureau staff observed a professor (AT) providing instruction by narrating his work on SQL database coding and entries and occasionally interacting with the one student in attendance. AT reviewed the student's work aloud and explained why it was not "perfect." AT had an extremely casual, improvised presentation style with no set structure or evident lecture outline. The portion of the class session observed was more like a lab session than a lecture.
- g. The length of recorded sessions shows class is only meeting weekly for one to two hours on average. That is not sufficient class time for the units conferred as the classes are not meeting between 6:00 to 9:00 p.m. as scheduled, and three hours of lecture per week for 15 weeks is required for a three-unit course.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Distance Education Instruction)

- 30. Respondent subjected its Approval to Operate to discipline for failing to offer distance education instruction in compliance with California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71715. (Educ. Code § 94937, subd. (a)(2); and Cal. Code Regs., title 5, § 71715, subds. (d)(3), (d)(5), (d)(6), (d)(7), and (d)(8).) In particular:
- a. As stated in paragraph 29, subparagraphs (a) and (f), above, Respondent fails to ensure that the materials and programs are current, well organized, designed by faculty competent in distance education techniques as required by California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71715, subdivision (d)(3).
- b. As stated in paragraph 29, subparagraph (b), above, Respondent fails to maintain clear standards for satisfactory academic progress as required by California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71715, subdivision (d)(5).
- c. As stated in paragraph 29, subparagraph (c), above, Respondent fails to timely complete student evaluations of learning outcomes by duly qualified faculty, which are appropriate for use with the distance education methods used, and evaluated by duly qualified faculty as required by California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71715, subdivision (d)(6).

- d. As stated in paragraph 29, subparagraph (d), above, Respondent fails to employ a sufficient number of faculty to ensure that (a) the institution's response to, or evaluation of, each student lesson is returned to the student within 10 days after the lesson is received by the institution; and (b) the institution's response to, or evaluation of, each student project or dissertation is returned to the student within the time disclosed in the catalog as required by California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71715, subdivision (d)(7).
- e. As stated in paragraph 29, subparagraph (d), above, Respondent fails to maintain records of the dates on which lessons, projects, and dissertations were received and responses were returned to each student as required by California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71715, subdivision (d)(8).

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Inadequate Curriculum)

- 31. Respondent subjected its Approval to Operate to discipline in that Respondent's curriculum fails to comply with the requirements of California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71710, subdivision (a). (Educ. Code § 94937, subd. (a)(2) and; Cal. Code Regs., title 5, § 71710, subds. (a)(3)(C), (a)(4), (a)(5), and (a)(6).) In particular:
- a. As stated in paragraph 29, subparagraph (a), above, Respondent's curriculum fails to include specific learning outcomes tied to the sequence of the presentation of the material to measure the students' learning of the material as required by California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71710, subdivision (a)(5).
- b. As stated in paragraph 29, subparagraph (a), above, Respondent's curriculum fails to include evaluation by duly qualified faculty of learning outcomes as required by California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71710, subdivision (a)(6).
- c. As stated in paragraph 29, subparagraph (e), above, although Respondent's curriculum is degree-granting, the curriculum fails to require research of an appropriate degree that utilizes a library and other learning resources as required by California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71710, subdivision (a)(4).

////

In the Matter of the Accusation Against American Innovation Univ., fma Silicon Valley Univ. (Case No. BPPE22-673)

ACCUSATION

1	case, pursu	uant to Section 94937, subdivision	on (c) and Business and Professions	Code Section	1
2	125.3; and				
3	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.				
4					
5	DATED:	6/26/2024	Deborah Cochrans DEBORAH COCHRANE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
6			Chief Bureau for Private Postsecondary		
7			Education		
8			Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant		
9			•		
10	SF20234024	10 / 44022608.docx			
11					
12					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28			14		

ACCUSATION
In the Matter of the Accusation Against American Innovation Univ., fma Silicon Valley Univ. (Case No. BPPE22-673)