BEFORE THE DIRECTOR DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES

2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100

Gold River, CA 95670

Case No. BPPE21-357

OAH Case No.: 2023060454

Respondent.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Revocation of Approval to Operate and Order is hereby accepted and adopted by the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs as the Decision in the above-entitled matter.

This Decision shall	become effective on _	September 27	, 2023.
It is so ORDERED _	August 22	, 2023.	
	"Original	Signature on File"	
	RYAN MAR	RCROFT	
	DEPUTY DI	RECTOR, LEGAL AFFAIRS	DIVISION
	DEPARTME	ENT OF CONSUMER AFF	AIRS

ROB BONTA Attorney General of California ANDREW M. STEINHEIMER Supervising Deputy Attorney General BRENT O. Jex Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 235261 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA. 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-7864 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA In the Matter of the Accusation Against: CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670; Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate and Offer Educational Programs for Non-Accredited Institutions Institution Code No. 3404281 Respondent. Respondent. Respondent.		
ANDREW M. STEINHEIMER Supervising Deputy Attorney General BRENT O. JEX Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 235261 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-7864 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA In the Matter of the Accusation Against: CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670: In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670: Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate and Offer Educational Programs for Non-Accredited Institutions Institution Code No. 3404281	ROB BONTA	
Supervising Deputy Attorney General BRENT O. JEX Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 235261 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-7864 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA In the Matter of the Accusation Against: CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670 Institution Code No. 3404281 Case No. BBPE21-357 OAH No. 2023060454 STIPULATED REVOCATION OF APPROVAL TO OPERATE AND ORDER Case No. BBPE22-207 OAH No. 2022070733 Case No. BBPE22-207 OAH No. 2022070733 Case No. BBPE22-207 OAH No. 2022070733	Attorney General of California	
BERNT O. JEX Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 235261 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-7864 Facsimile: (916) 210-7864 Facsimile: (916) 217-8643 Attorneys for Complainant BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA In the Matter of the Accusation Against: CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670 Institution Code No. 3404281 Case No. BBPE21-357 OAH No. 2023060454 STIPULATED REVOCATION OF APPROVAL TO OPERATE AND ORDER Case No. BBPE22-207 OAH No. 2022070733 Case No. BBPE22-207 OAH No. 2022070733 Case No. BBPE22-207 OAH No. 2022070733 In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: CASE No. BBPE22-207 OAH No. 2022070733		
State Bar No. 235261 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-7864 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA In the Matter of the Accusation Against: CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670 Institution Code No. 3404281 Respondent. Case No. BBPE21-357 OAH No. 2023060454 STIPULATED REVOCATION OI APPROVAL TO OPERATE AND ORDER Case No. BBPE22-207 OAH No. 2022070733 Case No. BBPE22-207 OAH No. 2022070733 Case No. BBPE22-207 OAH No. 2022070733 In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670; Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate and Offer Educational Programs for Non-Accredited Institutions Institution Code No. 3404281	Brent O. Jex	
1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-7864 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA In the Matter of the Accusation Against: CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670 Institution Code No. 3404281 Case No. BBPE21-357 OAH No. 2023060454 STIPULATED REVOCATION OF APPROVAL TO OPERATE AND ORDER Case No. BBPE22-207 OAH No. 2022070733 Case No. BBPE22-207 OAH No. 2022070733 Case No. BBPE22-207 OAH No. 2022070733 In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670; Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate and Offer Educational Programs for Non-Accredited Institutions Institution Code No. 3404281		
Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-7864 Facsimile: (916) 227-8643 Attorneys for Complainant BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA In the Matter of the Accusation Against: CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670 Institution Code No. 3404281 Case No. BBPE21-357 OAH No. 2023060454 STIPULATED REVOCATION OF APPROVAL TO OPERATE AND ORDER Case No. BBPE22-207 OAH No. 2022070733 Case No. BBPE22-207 OAH No. 2022070733 Case No. BBPE22-207 OAH No. 2022070733 In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670; Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate and Offer Educational Programs for Non-Accredited Institutions Institution Code No. 3404281	1300 I Street, Suite 125	
Telephone: (916) 210-7864 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA In the Matter of the Accusation Against: CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670 Institution Code No. 3404281 Case No. BBPE21-357 OAH No. 2023060454 STIPULATED REVOCATION OF APPROVAL TO OPERATE AND ORDER Case No. BBPE22-207 OAH No. 2022070733 Case No. BBPE22-207 OAH No. 2022070733 Institution Code No. 3404281		
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA In the Matter of the Accusation Against: CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670 Institution Code No. 3404281 Case No. BBPE21-357 OAH No. 2023060454 STIPULATED REVOCATION OF APPROVAL TO OPERATE AND ORDER Case No. BBPE22-207 OAH No. 2022070733 Case No. BBPE22-207 OAH No. 2022070733 Case No. BBPE22-207 OAH No. 2022070733 Institution Code No. 3404281	Telephone: (916) 210-7864	
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA In the Matter of the Accusation Against: CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670 Institution Code No. 3404281 Case No. BBPE21-357 OAH No. 2023060454 STIPULATED REVOCATION OF APPROVAL TO OPERATE AND ORDER Case No. BBPE22-207 OAH No. 2022070733 Case No. BBPE22-207 OAH No. 2022070733 Case No. BBPE22-207 OAH No. 2022070733 Institution Code No. 3404281	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant	
In the Matter of the Accusation Against: CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670 In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670 In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: Case No. BBPE21-357 OAH No. 2023060454 STIPULATED REVOCATION OF APPROVAL TO OPERATE AND ORDER Case No. BBPE22-207 OAH No. 2022070733 CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670; Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate and Offer Educational Programs for Non-Accredited Institutions Institution Code No. 3404281	and may be a companion.	
In the Matter of the Accusation Against: CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670 In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670 In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: Case No. BBPE21-357 OAH No. 2023060454 STIPULATED REVOCATION OF APPROVAL TO OPERATE AND ORDER Case No. BBPE22-207 OAH No. 2022070733 CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670; Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate and Offer Educational Programs for Non-Accredited Institutions Institution Code No. 3404281	BEFORE	THE
In the Matter of the Accusation Against: CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670 Institution Code No. 3404281 Respondent. In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: Case No. BBPE21-357 OAH No. 2023060454 STIPULATED REVOCATION OF APPROVAL TO OPERATE AND ORDER Case No. BBPE22-207 OAH No. 2022070733 Case No. BBPE22-207 OAH No. 2022070733 OAH No. 2022070733 Institution for Renewal of Approval to Operate and Offer Educational Programs for Non-Accredited Institutions Institution Code No. 3404281		
In the Matter of the Accusation Against: CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670 Institution Code No. 3404281 Respondent. In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: CASE No. BBPE21-357 OAH No. 2023060454 STIPULATED REVOCATION OF APPROVAL TO OPERATE AND ORDER Case No. BBPE22-207 OAH No. 2022070733 CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670; Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate and Offer Educational Programs for Non-Accredited Institutions Institution Code No. 3404281		
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670 Institution Code No. 3404281 Respondent. In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670; Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate and Offer Educational Programs for Non-Accredited Institutions Institution Code No. 3404281	STATE OF CA	LIFURNIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670 Institution Code No. 3404281 Respondent. In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670; Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate and Offer Educational Programs for Non-Accredited Institutions Institution Code No. 3404281		
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670 Institution Code No. 3404281 Respondent. In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670; Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate and Offer Educational Programs for Non-Accredited Institutions Institution Code No. 3404281	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. BBPE21-357
HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670 Institution Code No. 3404281 Respondent. Respondent. Case No. BBPE22-207 CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670; Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate and Offer Educational Programs for Non-Accredited Institutions Institution Code No. 3404281		
Institution Code No. 3404281 Respondent. Respondent. In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670; Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate and Offer Educational Programs for Non-Accredited Institutions Institution Code No. 3404281		UAH No. 2023060454
In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670; Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate and Offer Educational Programs for Non-Accredited Institutions Institution Code No. 3404281	2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100	STIPULATED REVOCATION OF
In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670; Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate and Offer Educational Programs for Non-Accredited Institutions Institution Code No. 3404281		
In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670; Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate and Offer Educational Programs for Non-Accredited Institutions Institution Code No. 3404281	Institution Code No. 3404281	
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670; Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate and Offer Educational Programs for Non-Accredited Institutions Institution Code No. 3404281	Respondent.	
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670; Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate and Offer Educational Programs for Non-Accredited Institutions Institution Code No. 3404281		
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670; Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate and Offer Educational Programs for Non-Accredited Institutions Institution Code No. 3404281	I d M Cd Ct	Casa No. DDDE22 207
HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES 2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670; Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate and Offer Educational Programs for Non-Accredited Institutions Institution Code No. 3404281	in the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	
2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100 Gold River, CA 95670; Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate and Offer Educational Programs for Non-Accredited Institutions Institution Code No. 3404281		OAH No. 2022070733
Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate and Offer Educational Programs for Non-Accredited Institutions Institution Code No. 3404281	2377 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 100	
Operate and Offer Educational Programs for Non-Accredited Institutions Institution Code No. 3404281	Gold River, CA 95670;	
Operate and Offer Educational Programs for Non-Accredited Institutions Institution Code No. 3404281		
Non-Accredited Institutions Institution Code No. 3404281	Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate and Offer Educational Programs for	
	Non-Accredited Institutions	
Respondent.	Institution Code No. 3404281	
	Dasnandant	
	Respondent.	
		J

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the aboveentitled proceedings that the following matters are true:

PARTIES

- 1. Deborah Cochrane (Complainant) is the Chief of the Bureau for Private

 Postsecondary Education (Bureau). She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is
 represented in this matter by Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of California, by Brent O.

 Jex, Deputy Attorney General.
- 2. California Institute of the Healing Arts and Sciences; Carol DiGirolamo, President of 121 Venus Corporation, Owner (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by attorney Jacob G. Reinhardt of Gould, Hahn, & Reinhardt, PLC, 2550 Ninth Street, Suite 101, Berkeley, CA 94710.
- 3. On or about April 30, 1992, the Bureau issued Approval to Operate Institution Code 3404281 to Respondent. The Approval to Operate was set to expire on May 5, 2020, however, Respondent timely submitted an application for Renewal of Approval to Operate on April 21, 2020. The Bureau denied the application on January 31, 2022, and Respondent timely appealed the denial of the application.

JURISDICTION

4. On June 10, 2022, Complainant filed Statement of Issues number BBPE22-207, and on May 15, 2023, Complainant filed Accusation number BBPE21-357 against Respondent before the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs (Director), both of which are currently pending against Respondent. The Statement of Issues and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on June 13, 2022. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on May 18, 2023. Respondent timely filed its Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. BBPE21-357 and Statement of Issues No. BBPE22-207 are attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference.

27 /

///

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. BBPE21-357 and Statement of Issues No. BPPE22-207. Respondent also has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Revocation of Approval to Operate and Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of its legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against it; the right to present evidence and to testify on its own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 8. Respondent understands that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. BBPE21-357, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon its Approval to Operate Institution Code No. 3404281.
- 9. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation and Statement of Issues without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation and that those charges constitute cause for discipline. Respondent hereby gives up its right to contest that cause for discipline exists based on those charges.
- 10. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation, Respondent enables the Director to issue her order revoking Respondent's Approval to Operate Institution Code No. 3404281 without further process.

<u>CONTINGENCY</u>

11. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Director or the Director's designee.

Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Bureau for

Private Postsecondary Education may communicate directly with the Director and staff regarding this stipulation, without notice to or participation by Respondent or its counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that it may not withdraw its agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Director considers and acts upon it. If the Director fails to adopt this stipulation as the Decision and Order, the Stipulated Revocation of Approval to Operate and Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Director shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

- 12. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Revocation of Approval to Operate and Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 13. This Stipulated Revocation of Approval to Operate and Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Director may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Approval to Operate Institution Code No. 3404281, issued to Respondent California Institute of the Healing Arts and Sciences; Carol DiGirolamo, President of 121 Venus Corporation, Owner, is revoked and accepted by the Bureau.

1. The revocation of Respondent's Approval to Operate Institution Code No. 3404281 by the Bureau shall constitute the imposition of discipline against Respondent. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part of Respondent's license history with the Bureau.

- 2. Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as an Approved Institution in California as of the effective date of the Director's Decision and Order.
- 3. If Respondent ever files an application for an Approval to Operate in the State of California, the Bureau shall treat it as a new application for Approval to Operate. Respondent must comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for an Approval to Operate in effect at the time the application or petition is filed, and all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. BBPE21-357 shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by Respondent when the Director determines whether to grant or deny the application or petition.
- 4. Respondent agrees that, as part of this Stipulated Revocation of Approval to Operate and Order, Respondent hereby withdraws its request for an appeal of the denial of its Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate and Offer Educational Programs for Non-Accredited Institutions for Institution Code No. 3404281, which was formerly the subject of Statement of Issues No. BPPE22-207.
- 5. At least 30 days prior to the effective date of this Stipulated Revocation of Approval to Operate and Order Respondent shall submit:
 - a. A school closure plan; and
- b. A student roster formatted on an Excel spreadsheet for all students enrolled within 120 days of the effective date of this Stipulated Revocation of Approval to Operate and Order that includes the students' contact information (full name, phone number, personal e-mail address, and mailing address) and program information (program name, enrollment date, and expected graduation date).
- 6. Respondent shall pay the agency its costs of investigation and enforcement in the amount of \$11,653.11 prior to issuance of a new or reinstated Approval to Operate.
- 7. Reimbursement of Tuition. Within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this Stipulated Revocation of Approval to Operate, Respondent shall reimburse the following tuition payments:
- a. \$6,488.00, payable to the Department of Rehabilitation, on behalf of student Katrina Porter;

1	ъ.	\$5,781.36, payable to student Alyssa Vang;
2	c.	\$3,804.96, payable to student Maria Ruiz;
3	d.	\$12,326.25, payable to student Helen Rena Patterson, on behalf of student Breanna
4	Rubin;	
5	e.	\$12,365.25, payable to student Helen Rena Patterson;
6	f.	\$3,743.00, payable to student Julie Bechtel; and
7	g.	\$13,000.00, payable to student Bridget Bertera.
8	8.	Submission of Student Tuition Recovery Fund (STRF) Claims. If any student
9	previously	enrolled in California Institute of the Healing Arts and Sciences is deemed eligible by
10	the Bureau	of for reimbursement through STRF, the Bureau shall notify Respondent and Respondent
11	shall fully	reimburse the Bureau within ninety (90) days of notification by the Bureau. The
12	Bureau res	serves the right to enforce required STRF reimbursement as if it were a money
13	judgment j	pursuant to Title 9 (commencing with Section 680.010) of Part 2 of the Code of Civil
14	Procedure	
15	9.	Respondent understands that if it should ever apply or reapply for a new Approval to
16	Operate w	ith the Bureau, all of the charges and allegations contained in both Accusation No.
17	BBPE21-3	357 and Statement of Issues No. BPPE22-207 shall be deemed true, correct, and
18	admitted b	y Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding
19	seeking to	deny or restrict licensure.
20	111	
21	///	
22	///	
23	///	
24	111	
25	///	
26	111	
27	///	
28	///	
		6

1		<u>ACCEPTANCE</u>
2	I have carefully read the above Stipulated Revocation of Approval to Operate and Order	
3	and have fully discussed it with r	my attorney. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will
4	have on Approval to Operate Ins	stitution Code No. 3404281. I enter into this Stipulated
5	Revocation of Approval to Opera	ate and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agre
6	to be bound by the Decision and	Order of the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs.
7		
8	DATED: 08 / 18 / 2023	Carol DiSirolamo
9	,	CAROL DIGIROLAMO, AS PRESIDENT OF 121 VENUS CORPORATION, OWNER
10		OF CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES
11		Respondent
12		
13		
14	I have read and fully discu	ssed with Respondent the terms and conditions and other matters
15	contained in this Stipulated Revo	ocation of Approval to Operate and Order. I approve its form and
16	content.	
17		$\Omega \mathcal{M}_{A}$
18	DATED: 8/18/23	1/4/5
19		JA L OB G. REINHARDT, ESQ. Attorney for Respondent
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	4- Annual designation	
25		
26		
27		
28		

ENDORSEMENT The foregoing Stipulated Revocation of Approval to Operate and Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs. **DATED:** August 18, 2023 Respectfully submitted, ROB BONTA Attorney General of California ANDREW M. STEINHEIMER Supervising Deputy Attorney General Digitally signed by Brent Date: 2023.08.18 11:52:25 -07'00' BRENT O. JEX Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant

Exhibit A

Accusation No. BBPE21-357

1	ROB BONTA	
2	Attorney General of California ANDREW M. STEINHEIMER	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General BRENT O. JEX	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 235261	
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255	
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-7864	
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant	
8		
9	BEFOR DEPARTMENT OF CO	
10	FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE STATE OF C.	
11		
12		
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. BBPE21-357
14	CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES;	
15	Institution Code No. 3404281	ACCUSATION
16	Respondent.	
17		
18		
19	PART	
20		ngs this Accusation solely in her official
21	capacity as the Chief of the Bureau for Private Po	stsecondary Education (Bureau), Department of
22	Consumer Affairs.	
23		au issued Approval to Operate Institution Code
24	3404281 to California Institute of the Healing Art	
25	121 Venus Corporation, Owner (Respondent). Th	
26	5, 2020, however, Respondent timely submitted a	
27	Operate on April 21, 2020. The Bureau denied th	
28	Respondent timely appealed the denial of the appl	lication.
	(CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE HEAL	I ING ARTS AND SCIENCES; CAROL DIGIROLAMO,
	(S.Z. Statutistico Doi Tite HEAD	PRESIDENT) ACCUSATION

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs (Director) for the Bureau, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Education Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Bureau of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
- 5. Section 94875 provides that the Bureau shall regulate private postsecondary educational institutions.
- 6. Section 94877 provides that the bureau shall implement regulations, and an enforcement program, regarding the operation of private postsecondary educational institutions.
 - 7. Section 94937 provides, in pertinent part:
 - (a) As a consequence of an investigation, which may incorporate any materials obtained or produced in connection with a compliance inspection, and upon a finding that an institution has committed a violation, the bureau may place an institution on probation or may suspend or revoke an institution's approval to operate for:
 - (2) A material violation or repeated violations of this chapter or regulations adopted pursuant to this chapter that have resulted, or may result, in harm to students. For purposes of this paragraph, "material violation" includes, but is not limited to, misrepresentation, fraud in the inducement of a contract, and false or misleading claims or advertising, upon which a student reasonably relied in executing an enrollment agreement and that resulted, or may result, in harm to the student.
 - (c) The bureau may seek reimbursement pursuant to Section 125.3 of the Business and Professions Code.

,

1	
2	(d) Distance education as defined in section 94834 of the Code, does not
3	require the physical presence of students and faculty at the same location but provides for interaction between students and faculty by such means as
4	telecommunication, correspondence, electronic and computer augmented
5	educational services, postal service, and facsimile transmission. In addition to the other requirements of this chapter and the Act, an institution offering distance
6	education shall:
7	
8	(3) ensure that the materials and programs are current, well organized,
9	designed by faculty competent in distance education techniques and delivered using readily available, reliable technology;
10	
11	
12	(5) maintain clear standards for satisfactory academic progress;
13	(6) timely complete student evaluations of learning outcomes by duly qualified faculty, which are appropriate for use with the distance education
14	methods used, and evaluated by duly qualified faculty.
15	(7) employ a sufficient number of faculty to assure that (A) the institution's
16	response to, or evaluation of, each student lesson is returned to the student within 10 days after the lesson is received by the institution; and (B) the institution's
17	response to, or evaluation of, each student project or dissertation is returned to the student within the time disclosed in the catalog; and
18	(8) shall maintain a record of the dates on which lessons, projects, and
19	dissertations were received and responses were returned to each student.
20	18. CCR section 71920 provides, in pertinent part:
21	(a) The institution shall maintain a file for each student who enrolls in the
22	institution whether or not the student completes the educational service.
23	(b) In addition to the requirements of section 94900, the file shall contain all
24	of the following pertinent student records:
25	
26	(3) Copies of all documents signed by the student, including contracts,
27	instruments of indebtedness, and documents relating to financial aid;
28	(4) Records of the dates of enrollment and, if applicable, withdrawal from the institution, leaves of absence, and graduation; and

reimburse the institution for the reasonable cost of using the institution's equipment and material to make copies at a rate not to exceed ten cents (\$0.10) per page.

COST RECOVERY

20. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licensee to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

K.P. COMPLAINT & INVESTIGATION

- 21. On or about July 28, 2021, the Bureau received a complaint from K.P¹ against Respondent. K.P. alleged that there was no responsibility for anything students learned; there were supposedly modules, but students did not receive any paperwork; there is no textbook for the Holistic Health Practitioner (HHP) program, and Carol DiGirolamo (DiGirolamo), as instructor, seemed to read off things she looked up online; there was no syllabus or curriculum besides three binders of random materials, much of which was not used; and DiGirolamo would make it difficult for students to find the documents students needed.
- 22. A Bureau Investigator interviewed K.P., who stated she attended the HHP program, she enrolled on March 13, 2021, and withdrew on July 26, 2021. Her tuition was paid by the Department of Rehabilitation (DOR). K.P. further stated that DiGirolamo would teach the same material each week without following a curriculum, new students would be placed into the same class as continuing students, and DiGirolamo would teach new students a one-week introductory session that continuing students were required to attend.
- 23. The Bureau Investigator obtained and reviewed K.P.'s enrollment packet and noted several initials were missing from the enrollment agreement, DiGirolamo did not sign the copy of

¹ Individuals' initials are used to protect their identities.

the enrollment agreement given to K.P., and there was no school performance fact sheet (SPFS) included in the enrollment packet.

A.V. COMPLAINT & INVESTIGATION

- 24. On or about August 18, 2021, the Bureau received a complaint from student A.V. against Respondent. The complaint alleged that A.V. enrolled on October 31, 2020; students from different programs and certifications were in the same class; she attended classes with students that had already been in class for varying lengths of time, from new students to students that had attended for one year; every few weeks new students would be enrolled and DiGirolamo would give the same lecture to all students; the folders given to her by DiGirolamo were missing a lot of paperwork; DiGirolamo had a difficult time running online classes; A.V. did not have access to many materials she needed for class; and after withdrawing on July 21, 2021, DiGirolamo informed her that no refund would be given.
- 25. A Bureau Investigator reviewed A.V.'s enrollment agreement, retail installment contract, receipt of payment, a screenshot of her student loan account, and a school catalog. The Bureau Investigator noted that A.V. enrolled in the Certified Behavioral Therapist (CBT) program on October 27, 2020; the start date was listed as October 31, 2020 with an end date of August 21, 2021 the program consisted of 400 hours of instruction; the agreement stated classes are held on Zoom on Saturdays only; the hours that class takes place are not listed on the agreement; the catalog A.V. provided was for January 2021 to December 2021, but it contained class schedules for 2019; the catalog states that the maximum allowable timeframe to complete a course is 1.5 times the hours, and for a 400-hour course, the maximum timeframe for a student to complete the course is 600 hours.
- 26. A Bureau Investigator interviewed A.V., who stated she did not receive a syllabus; she did not have access to Zoom recordings; DiGirolamo said she would send recordings when A.V. was absent, but A.V. missed three classes and never received a recording for those days; she did not receive any exams, quizzes, or tests; she did not receive a progress report or any evaluations in the program; she did not receive a student contact list; and she did not receive a class schedule for the days she attended. A.V. also stated the CBT program did not feel like

school; A.V. did not feel qualified to work as a behavioral therapist after taking classes from Respondent; all students would be in one class on Saturdays, regardless of which program they were in; students only had one class to decide if they want to withdraw for a full refund; classes felt like DiGirolamo providing her personal opinion rather than teaching a program; she did not recall whether she ever signed an SPFS; and when she went in person to enroll, she only met with the receptionist and not DiGirolamo.

M.R. COMPLAINT & INVESTIGATION

- 27. On July 29, 2021, the Bureau received a complaint from M.R. against Respondent. The complaint alleged that M.R. felt defrauded; students have been learning the same techniques for seven months; students are mixed into classes with newly enrolled students and receive the same lecture every few weeks; a lot of essential school materials were missing from the folders provided by Respondent; the website and programs are misleading; some shorter programs are advertised as being included in a longer holistic practitioner program, but not everything is taught; DiGirolamo's lectures sound like material she found online; there is no textbook for the class; and she has withdrawn from the program and is requesting a refund.
- 28. A Bureau Investigator reviewed a screenshot of Respondent's website provided by M.R., a screenshot of Respondent's school catalog, a screenshot of a retail installment contract, her enrollment agreement, and a two-page student agreement. The Bureau Investigator noted that M.R. enrolled in the HHP program on January 1, 2021, with an end date of December 4, 2021; the cancellation date was listed as January 9, 2021; the clock hours for the program were not listed on the agreement; the agreement stated that classes are held Saturdays via Zoom, but did not list the hours that class takes place; the total charges were not listed on the enrollment agreement; and the enrollment agreement references an attached financing document.
- 29. A Bureau Investigator interviewed M.R., who stated that she never received a syllabus; she did not have access to Zoom recordings of class unless she was absent; she asked for past recordings to review classes but was denied; there were no exams, quizzes, or tests; they would do breakout rooms on Zoom with other students with no supervision or testing done by DiGirolamo; she was given a student contact list, but it was taken down from the Google drive;

they practiced the same therapies over and over, but were given no resources or information on the subjects they talked about in class; she was given a binder with a few therapies detailed in them, but many were missing; DiGirolamo never responded to her request to provide the missing therapies; students were given hypnotherapy inductions by DiGirolamo for over 30 minutes during every class session; and DiGirolamo would put the class into a relaxed state through her techniques.

30. M.R. also stated that there were discrepancies in her documentation regarding her enrollment date; all students were in one Saturday class with different levels of education, both new and old students; and she does not recall ever signing a SPFS.

B.R. COMPLAINT & INVESTIGATION

- 31. On August 11, 2021, the Bureau received a complaint from B.R. against Respondent. The complaint alleges that B.R. enrolled in a 10-month HHP; since the first day of class, she felt that she had been learning the same material; new students are enrolled every few weeks, and during some classes they just repeat the same lecture; old students and new students are in the same class; she paid in full using her college fund; and she is requesting a full refund.
- 32. A Bureau Investigator interviewed B.R., who stated that DiGirolamo told her that "all techniques are used in all models"; she enrolled in January 2021 and has been learning the same material since then; the only subject being taught is hypnotherapy, and it's basically guided meditations; stated hours are from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., total hours per week are eight, but even with lunch, that only adds up to 7 hours per week; on the website it states that students take class from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on Saturdays, but the enrollment forms state 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. on Saturdays; and Respondent's catalog says students attend classes eight hours per week, but the actual number is closer to six hours per week.
- 33. A screenshot of Respondent's website provided by M.R. shows the listed class hours are 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on Saturdays, total hours are 500; and classes start every five week. The enrollment agreement provided by M.R. shows that M.R. enrolled in the HHP program on December 24, 2021; the start date is listed as January 2, 2021, and the end date is listed as January 23, 2022; total hours are listed as 500; class hours are listed as 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. for

a total of eight hours per week; and the agreement is not signed by a representative of Respondent.

34. B.R. also stated that she never received a syllabus of courses; Zoom classes were recorded, but students do not have access to all recordings; there were no exams, quizzes, or tests; she never received any grades or evaluations; and she never signed an SPFS.

J.B. COMPLAINT & INVESTIGATION

- 35. On August 19, 2021, the Bureau received a complaint from J.B. against Respondent. The complaint alleged that J.B. did not feel she had the education necessary to work as a certified hypnotherapist; there was no formal education or training provided by Respondent; students are lumped together from different modules to take the same class from DiGirolamo; the first class of each module is repeated for every course; and the instructor is unqualified, and teaches class based on life experience.
- 36. A Bureau Investigator interviewed J.B., who stated that she did not receive a syllabus; she did not have access to Zoom recordings unless a class was missed; there were no exams except for the final exam; she did not receive a class schedule or student contact list; there was no class structure as the classes seemed to be long conversations with students sharing their experiences; she felt that her time was wasted; every first Saturday of a module was the same class, a repeat for new students; she attended classes for the Certified Life Coach (CLC) program, realized it was the same as previous modules, and backed out; DiGirolamo takes the whole month of December off; she did not turn in any book reports, externships, or any work at all; DiGirolamo never asked her for her work or checked her progress; DiGirolamo tacked on additional charges for the CLC classes, but did not have J.B. sign a new enrollment agreement; classes were always the same group of people unless students graduated or were new; and students only received access to the recorded Zoom classes when they were absent.

H.P. COMPLAINT & INVESTIGATION

37. On August 18, 2021, the Bureau received a complaint from student H.P. against Respondent. The complaint alleged that the class is a misrepresentation of what is stated online;

and the school should not be allowed to issue certificates stating students have been trained and are ready to help others in a professional setting.

38. A Bureau Investigator interviewed H.P., who stated that she wanted a full refund because she was not given the education she was promised; she did not learn anything after several months in the program; every Saturday feels the same, with no distinction between courses; old and new students are mixed together and receive the same lecture every few weeks; there is not much new information after the fourth month of the program, so it is difficult to tell what class she is in; she did not receive a syllabus; she only received access to Zoom classes for classes she missed; there were no exams, quizzes or tests; she never received any feedback or grades on assignments she submitted; she received an outdated student contact list; they learned the same thing every few weeks and nothing changed after the first month; classes were always 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.; when classes started, DiGirolamo would ask each student how their week went and if they worked with any clients, they would take a short break and come back to talk briefly about nutrition before breaking for lunch, DiGirolamo would then do a guided meditation with the class for about 45 minutes, and then they would do breakout rooms for two hours or more, which were 1-on-1 with students on Zoom with no supervision from DiGirolamo; and she never received a SPFS.

B.B. COMPLAINT & INVESTIGATION

39. On September 8, 2021, the Bureau received a complaint from B.B. against Respondent. The complaint alleged that B.B. withdrew from her enrollment when she realized that no matter how long she stayed, she would not be receiving the program that she paid for; classes met for six hours each Saturday; when new students were randomly introduced every few weeks, they would spend three hours doing introductions with all students and talk about subjects not pertaining to class topics; the training they were promised was incomplete and fraudulent; DiGirolamo did not clearly demonstrate or explain things; when asked about materials, DiGirolamo would respond that the materials were proprietary; B.B. was missing program materials; although DiGirolamo claimed to instruct students in behavioral therapy, life coaching and other subjects, she only touched on these subjects briefly, and it was not enough to warrant a

certification in those fields; at about the fourth month of classes, DiGirolamo would start to repeat her teachings; DiGirolamo was unresponsive; DiGirolamo refused to provide the full program to students; DiGirolamo would repeatedly say that she could not provide certain things because they were "proprietary," despite students paying for the program; DiGirolamo would do the same induction every class and put students into a relaxed state; DiGirolamo would not have a firm grasp on some of the materials she was teaching; every few weeks new students would join the class and they would spend that class doing several hours of introductions for new students and repeating what was taught in the prior weeks; classes were always 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.; she did not receive any syllabus for any of the modules; DiGirolamo would switch between modules sometimes during class; the only way to know which module she was teaching at the time is when DiGirolamo would say during class which module she was teaching; there is no class structure; besides the first two classes and the introduction class that is repeated every few weeks, the instruction is very free form; some of the modalities are over 30 years old; she never received any tests, exams or quizzes; DiGirolamo did the same attunement every class; she was never told when she finished the Certified Hypnotherapy portion of the course, and never received any grades, evaluations or progress reports; students received incomplete course materials in their binders; she did not receive a class schedule; and she never received or signed a SPFS.

T.C. COMPLAINT & INVESTIGATION

40. On December 20, 2021, the Bureau received a complaint from T.C. against Respondent. The complaint alleged that T.C. enrolled in a 10-month program, but it took two years to complete; she had issues with not receiving class materials from DiGirolamo, and not receiving the techniques or healing modalities that were promised by the course; she did not receive the training that was advertised.

FURTHER INVESTIGATION & NOVEMBER 8, 2021 INSPECTION

41. On September 10, 2021, a Bureau Investigator reviewed Respondent's website, which stated Respondent offers programs in Certified Behavioral Therapist, Clinical Hypnotherapist, Certified Life Coach and Holistic Health Practitioner. Nothing on the site indicated that these programs were only offered by distance learning.

- 42. On September 10, 2021, a Bureau Investigator downloaded a copy of Respondent's 2021 school catalog from Respondent's website at californiainstitute.net. Respondent's catalog indicates that the school's administrative office hours are Monday through Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. However, no employee of the school was on site during a visit from a Bureau Investigator on October 28, 2021 and November 2, 2021. The Bureau Investigator was not able to review or copy any records until returning to the school on November 8, 2021, at which time files were not made immediately available, and personnel were not scheduled to be present during normal business hours without first alerting DiGirolamo of a potential Bureau visit.
- 43. The school catalog advertised three programs that DiGirolamo confirmed were not currently being taught: massage therapist, aromatherapist, and master herbal practitioner. The school website also advertised the aromatherapist and master herbal practitioner classes.
- 44. Per the school catalog, monthly reports regarding externships are submitted to DiGirolamo for review and critiquing. Additionally, a syllabus embedded in the school catalog and the catalog program descriptions state that eight hours of instruction is provided per class.
- 45. On November 8, 2021, a Bureau Investigator interviewed DiGirolamo, and obtained copies of the student files for K.P., A.V., M.R., B.R., J.B., H.P., B.B., and T.C. During the interview, DiGirolamo stated that students take hour-long lunches during each class. This time is not subtracted from the eight hours of instruction that the school advertises on its website, catalog, and enrollment agreements. DiGirolamo also stated that students do not have to repeat modules that they do not do well in, and she would not make a student repeat just one module. Her statements directly contradict the policy in the school catalog that states students who receive an "F" or "incomplete" in a module must repeat that module.
- 46. A review of K.P.'s file indicated that DiGirolamo sent progress reports to DOR each month from March 2021 to June 2021 stating that K.P. received grades of "A" in externship, technique utilization, and communication skills. However, there is no documentation in K.P.'s student file to support these grades. Additionally, the date of cancellation listed on K.P.'s enrollment agreement was February 20, 2021, despite the fact K.P. signed the enrollment agreement on March 11, 2021. The enrollment agreement for K.P. did not list the number of

clock hours or weeks needed to complete the educational program. Finally, the student file for K.P. did not contain an official transcript showing her date of withdrawal.

- 47. A review of A.V.'s file indicated that in a document dated November 30, 2021, DiGirolamo stated that A.V. did not submit a written notice of withdrawal. However, in the documents included with this response, she provided a school form titled "withdrawal" notice stating that A.V. was terminated due to her "letter of withdrawal" and missing three weeks of classes. Additionally, A.V. provided an email sent to DiGirolamo on August 4, 2021 in which A.V. clearly states her intent to withdraw her enrollment as of that day. Finally, the student file for A.V. did not contain an official transcript showing her date of withdrawal.
- 48. A review of M.R.'s file indicated that DiGirolamo documented M.R.'s withdrawal on a "withdrawal notice" dated September 20, 2021, listing M.R.'s last date of attendance and withdrawal date as September 11, 2021. This directly contradicts M.R.'s email to DiGirolamo on August 13, 2021 in which M.R. states her intent to withdraw immediately, and documentation in M.R.'s file listing August 13, 2021 as M.R.'s withdrawal date. Additionally, the enrollment agreement for M.R. did not list the number of clock hours or weeks needed to complete the educational program. Finally, the student file for M.R. did not contain an official transcript showing her date of withdrawal.
- 49. A review of B.R.'s file indicates that in a letter to B.R. dated August 26, 2021, DiGirolamo stated that B.R. completed six out of ten modules, last attended class on July 24, 2021, and was not eligible for a pro-rata refund. However, in a document titled "withdrawal notice," DiGirolamo listed M.R.'s withdrawal date as September 11, 2021, and stated B.R. had completed seven out of ten modules. Finally, the student file for B.R. did not contain an official transcript showing her date of withdrawal.
- 50. A review of J.B.'s file indicates that in a document titled "withdrawal notice," DiGirolamo listed J.B.'s graduation date as April 10, 2021, but listed her withdrawal and last date of attendance as July 10, 2021. The document is dated July 17, 2021, and lists J.B.'s completed hours as 325. However, J.B. enrolled in the 200-hour CHT program, completed over 300 hours, but did not take a final exam or receive graduate status for the CHT program. J.B. did not sign a

second enrollment agreement or addendum to continue taking classes as part of a longer program. Finally, the student file for J.B. did not contain an official transcript showing her date of withdrawal.

- 51. A review of H.P.'s file indicates that H.P. provided an enrollment agreement that was not signed by a representative of Respondent. On this form, her graduation date was listed as January 23, 2022 in typed font. In the student file obtained from Respondent, DiGirolamo signed H.P.'s enrollment agreement which listed her graduation date as December 4, 2021, and was hand-written instead of typed. DiGirolamo confirmed she does not provide a copy of the completed enrollment forms to students. Finally, the student file for H.P. did not contain an official transcript showing her date of withdrawal.
- 52. A review of B.B.'s file indicates that in a letter to B.B. dated August 26, 2021, DiGirolamo stated multiple times that B.B. completed six out of ten modules of the HHP program. B.B. enrolled on August 20, 2020 and submitted her letter of withdrawal on July 31, 2021. A progress report in her student file shows that she received grades for eight modules. In a document titled "withdrawal notice" dated July 30, 2021, DiGirolamo listed that B.B. completed 8.5 modules, and listed her date of withdrawal as July 10, 2021. Finally, the student file for B.B. did not contain an official transcript showing her date of withdrawal.
- 53. The Bureau Investigator received T.C.'s file from DiGirolamo on or about January 13, 2022. A review of T.C.'s file indicates that T.C. attended classes from her enrollment date in 2019 until 2021 without signing a contract addendum or new enrollment agreement despite taking a leave of absence in 2020. The student file for T.C. did not contain any documents detailing her leave of absence or re-enrollment. Further, in an email to T.C. on December 14, 2021, DiGirolamo attached four certificates of completion for the programs that T.C. completed. The certificate of completion for the HHP program stated that T.C. completed the program as of August 4, 2021. However, DiGirolamo emailed T.C. on August 5, 2021 and stated that she would send her a copy of the final exam to take. Accordingly, T.C. could not have completed the HHP program as of August 4, 2021, without having taken the final exam. Additionally, the file did not contain three of the four certificates of completion that DiGirolamo provided to T.C. on

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(General Enrollment Requirements)

- 57. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 94937, for violating Code section 94902, subdivisions (b)(3) and (c) in that:
- a. As set forth in paragraph 50, incorporated herein, J.B. enrolled in the 200-hour CHT program and was allowed to attend an additional 125 hours of class without signing a new enrollment agreement for the HHP program.
- b. As set forth in paragraph 53, incorporated herein, T.C. attended classes from her enrollment date in 2019 until 2021 without signing a contract addendum or new enrollment agreement despite taking a leave of absence in 2020. T.C. was allowed to graduate and received certificates of completion in December 2021.
- c. As set forth in paragraphs 23, 26, 30, 34, 38 and 39, incorporated herein, DiGirolamo enrolled K.P., A.V., M.R., B.R., J.B., H.P., B.B., and T.C. without them, or a representative of Respondent, signing a SPFS. Additionally, DiGirolamo does not provide students with a signed copy of their enrollment agreements or SPFS.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Minimum Requirements for School Catalog)

- 58. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 94937, for violating Code section 94909, subdivision (a)(5) and (7) in that:
- a. As set forth in paragraph 44, incorporated herein, the syllabus embedded in the school catalog and the catalog program descriptions state that eight hours of instruction is provided per class. However, as set forth in paragraph 45, incorporated herein, hour-long lunches taken during weekly Saturday classes are not subtracted from the represented hours of instruction. Thus, the institution is counting each Saturday class as eight hours of instruction when, in fact, no more than seven hours is provided.
- b. As set forth in paragraph 54, incorporated herein, DiGirolamo disclosed on November 8, 2021 that an instructor named Miriam Miller had been instructing new students in breakout sessions, and occasionally substituting for the owner for approximately 1.5 3 years.

///

However, no credentials, qualifications, or contract has been provided to the Bureau for this faculty member, and she does not appear in the course catalogue. Enrolling students and the public have not been made aware of this instructor due to her omission from the catalogue.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Minimum Requirements for Enrollment Agreement)

59. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 94937, for violating Code section 94911, subdivision (a), in that, as set forth in paragraphs 46 and 48, the enrollment agreements for K.P. and M.R. did not list the number of clock hours or weeks needed to complete the educational program.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Signature, Initials Required)

60. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 94937, for violating Code section 94912, in that, as set forth in paragraphs 23, 26, 30, 34, 38 and 39, incorporated herein, the SPFS for K.P., A.V., M.R., B.R., J.B., H.P., B.B., and T.C. were not signed by a representative of Respondent, and signed copies of the SPFS were not provided to the students.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Change in Circumstance Affecting Application Information)

61. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 94937, for violating California Code of Regulations, title 5 ("CCR"), section 71405 in that, as set forth in paragraph 54, incorporated herein, DiGirolamo disclosed on November 8, 2021 that an instructor named M.M. had been instructing new students in breakout sessions, and occasionally substituting for the owner for approximately 1.5 – 3 years. However, no credentials, qualifications, or contract has been provided to the Bureau for this faculty member, and she does not appear in the course catalogue. This individual was not referenced in the renewal application submitted April 2020 or in the deficiency responses provided to the Bureau through September 2020. Thus, the Bureau was not informed of the change in circumstance affecting the renewal application, and accordingly, the Bureau has not had an opportunity to vet this instructor.

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

28 || ///

///

(Educational Program)

- 62. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 94937, for violating CCR section 71710, subdivisions (a), (b), (c)(2), (c)(3), (e), and (f). As set forth in paragraphs 22, 26, 29, 32, 36, 38 and 39, incorporated herein, DiGirolamo was unable to provide evidence that Respondent is offering instruction in those subject areas that are necessary for a student to achieve the educational objectives of the educational program in which the student is enrolled. As a consequence of the organization and sequencing of the four programs currently offered, students enrolling in the three shorter program will only be provided instruction in subjects covered in modules that happen to be offered in the specific window of time immediately following their enrollment. There is no logical ordering, sequence or design for the content of the Clinical Hypnotherapist, Life Coach and Behavioral Therapist programs. Additionally, hour-long lunches are taken during weekly Saturday classes, which are not subtracted from the represented hours of instruction.
- 63. Respondent also did not document that curriculum includes specific learning outcomes tied to the sequence of the presentation of the material to measure the students' learning of the material. Articulated objectives for each program overlap greatly between programs; there is little differentiation between programs despite their distinctly different titles and associated intended career outcomes. Program objectives also do not clearly correspond to content reference in the descriptions of the modules.
- 64. Student achievement of program-specific learning outcomes is not appropriately measured or evaluated. The culminating final exam appears to be the same for all four currently offered programs: Holistic Health Practitioner, Behavioral Therapist, Life Coach, and Clinical Hypnotherapist. Additionally, the final exam provided for review did not appear to have been graded, despite the student's having already graduated.

NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Instruction)

- 65. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 94937, for violating CCR section 71715, subdivisions (b), (d)(3), (d)(5), (d)(6), (d)(7), and (d)(8). As set forth in paragraphs 22, 26, 29, 32, 36, 38 and 39, incorporated herein, Respondent did not document that curriculum includes specific learning outcomes tied to the sequence of the presentation of the materials to measure the students' learning of the material. Articulated objectives for each program overlap greatly between programs, and there is little differentiation between programs despite their distinctly different titles and associated intended career outcomes. Program objectives do not clearly correspond to content referenced in the descriptions of modules. Which objectives are addressed will be dependent on which modules a student completes, and which modules are taken by each student is dictated wholly by where their enrollment date places them on the schedule and the length of the program they select. Respondent has not documented that the instruction offered leads to the achievement of the learning objectives of each course.
- 66. Hour-long lunches taken during weekly Saturday classes are not subtracted from the represented hours of instruction. The institution also does not maintain clear standards for satisfactory academic progress. DiGirolamo failed to document recorded mid-point grades, evaluations or communications to students regarding their progress.
- 67. Additionally, formal evaluations of students are not timely completed, in compliance with the institution's stated policy, or consistently recorded. Although Respondent requires students to complete "externship" forms to document their technique practice, book reports, and career preparation/business-related activities, Respondent failed to demonstrate that these assignments are graded or catalogued.

TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Student Records)

68. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 94937, for violating CCR section 71920, subdivisions (b)(3), (b)(4), (b)(5)(A), (b)(5)(B), (b)(5)(C), (b)(5)(D), and (b)(5)(E), in that, as set forth in paragraphs 46-53, incorporated herein, the student files for K.P.,

1	A.V., M.R., B.R., J.B., H.P., and B.B. did not contain an official transcript showing their dates of
2	withdrawal. Additionally, the student file for T.C. did not contain any documents detailing her
3	leave of absence or re-enrollment.
4	ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
5	(Maintenance of Records)
6	69. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 94937, for violating
7	CCR section 71930, subdivision (3)(e) in that, as set forth in paragraph 42, incorporated herein,
8	Respondent's catalog indicates that the school's administrative office hours are Monday through
9	Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. However, no employee of the school was on site during a
10	visit from a Bureau Investigator on October 28, 2021 and November 2, 2021. The Bureau
11	Investigator was not able to review or copy any records until returning to the school on November
12	8, 2021, at which time files were not made immediately available, and personnel were not
13	scheduled to be present during normal business hours without first alerting DiGirolamo of a
14	potential Bureau visit.
	THE PER CALLED TO DAGGED DE
15	TWELFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
15 16	(Annual Report)
16 17	(Annual Report)
16 17 18	(Annual Report) 70. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 94937, for violating
16 17 18 19	(Annual Report) 70. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 94937, for violating CCR section 74110 in that Respondent failed to submit all components of the 2020 Annual
16	(Annual Report) 70. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 94937, for violating CCR section 74110 in that Respondent failed to submit all components of the 2020 Annual Report, which was due to the Bureau by December 1, 2021, despite the fact that the Bureau
16 17 18 19 20	(Annual Report) 70. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 94937, for violating CCR section 74110 in that Respondent failed to submit all components of the 2020 Annual Report, which was due to the Bureau by December 1, 2021, despite the fact that the Bureau provided reminder notices to Respondent on or about June 23, 2021 and August 10, 2021.
16 17 18 19 20 21	(Annual Report) 70. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 94937, for violating CCR section 74110 in that Respondent failed to submit all components of the 2020 Annual Report, which was due to the Bureau by December 1, 2021, despite the fact that the Bureau provided reminder notices to Respondent on or about June 23, 2021 and August 10, 2021. Additionally, Respondent failed to submit all components of the 2021 Annual Report, which was
16 17 18 19 20 21	(Annual Report) 70. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 94937, for violating CCR section 74110 in that Respondent failed to submit all components of the 2020 Annual Report, which was due to the Bureau by December 1, 2021, despite the fact that the Bureau provided reminder notices to Respondent on or about June 23, 2021 and August 10, 2021. Additionally, Respondent failed to submit all components of the 2021 Annual Report, which was due to the Bureau by December 1, 2022, despite the fact that the Bureau provided reminder
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(Annual Report) 70. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 94937, for violating CCR section 74110 in that Respondent failed to submit all components of the 2020 Annual Report, which was due to the Bureau by December 1, 2021, despite the fact that the Bureau provided reminder notices to Respondent on or about June 23, 2021 and August 10, 2021. Additionally, Respondent failed to submit all components of the 2021 Annual Report, which was due to the Bureau by December 1, 2022, despite the fact that the Bureau provided reminder notices to Respondent on or about June 28, 2022, July 14, 2022, and July 26, 2022.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	(Annual Report) 70. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 94937, for violating CCR section 74110 in that Respondent failed to submit all components of the 2020 Annual Report, which was due to the Bureau by December 1, 2021, despite the fact that the Bureau provided reminder notices to Respondent on or about June 23, 2021 and August 10, 2021. Additionally, Respondent failed to submit all components of the 2021 Annual Report, which was due to the Bureau by December 1, 2022, despite the fact that the Bureau provided reminder notices to Respondent on or about June 28, 2022, July 14, 2022, and July 26, 2022. DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

Exhibit B

Statement of Issues No. BBPE22-207

1	ROB BONTA	
2	Attorney General of California Andrew M. Steinheimer	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General BRENT O. JEX	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 235261	
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255	
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-7864	
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant	
8		
9	BEFOR DEPARTMENT OF CO	
10	FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE STATE OF C.	POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION
11		
12		
13	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. BBPE22-207
14	CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF THE	
15	HEALING ARTS AND SCIENCES	STATEMENT OF ISSUES
16	Application for Renewal of Approval to	
17	Operate and Offer Educational Programs for Non-Accredited Institutions	
18	Institution Code No. 3404281	
19	Respondent.	
20		
21	PAR	TIES
22	-	ngs this Statement of Issues solely in her official
23	capacity as the Chief of the Bureau for Private Po	•
24	Consumer Affairs.	subvoludity Education (Education, Expanding of
25		au received an application for Renewal of
26	Approval to Operate and Offer Educational Progr	••
27	California Institute of the Healing Arts and Science	
28		The composition of the volume
	1	

1	Corporation, Carol DiGirolamo as President. On or about April 13, 2020, Carol DiGirolamo
2	certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and
3	representations in the application. The Bureau denied the application on January 31, 2022.
4	<u>JURISDICTION</u>
5	3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Director of the Department of
6	Consumer Affairs (Director) for the Bureau, under the authority of the following laws. All
7	section references are to the Education Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
8	4. Code section 94886 states:
9	Except as exempted in Article 4 (commencing with Section 94874) or in
10	compliance with the transition provisions in Article 2 (commencing with Section 94802), a person shall not open, conduct, or do business as a private postsecondary
11	educational institution in this state without obtaining an approval to operate under this chapter.
12	5. Code section 94887 states:
13	An approval to operate shall be granted only after an applicant has presented
14	sufficient evidence to the bureau, and the bureau has independently verified the
15	information provided by the applicant through site visits or other methods deemed appropriate by the bureau, that the applicant has the capacity to satisfy the
16	minimum operating standards. The bureau shall deny an application for an approval to operate if the application does not satisfy those standards.
17	
18	STATUTORY PROVISIONS
19	6. Code section 94891 states:
20	(a) The bureau shall adopt by regulation the process and procedures
21	whereby an institution may obtain a renewal of an approval to operate.
22	(b) To be granted a renewal of an approval to operate, the institution shall
23	demonstrate its continued capacity to meet the minimum operating standards.
24	(c)(1) An institution that is denied renewal of an approval to operate may file an appeal in accordance with the procedures established by the bureau
25 26	pursuant to Section 94888.
26 27	(2) An institution that has filed an appeal of a denial of a renewal application may continue to operate during the appeal process, but must disclose
28	in a written statement, approved by the bureau, to all current and prospective
	students, that the institution's application for renewal of approval to operate was

they are teaching. If an instructor does not possess the required three years of experience, education and training in the subject area they are teaching, the institution shall document the qualifications the instructor possesses that are equivalent to the minimum qualifications.

- (2) Each instructor shall maintain their knowledge by completing continuing education courses in his or her subject area, classroom management or other courses related to teaching.
- (3) The institution shall not employ or continue to employ an instructor who was adjudicated in a judicial or administrative proceeding as having violated any provision of the Act or this chapter, or as having committed any act that would constitute grounds for the denial of a license under Section 480 of the Business and Professions Code.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL

(Instruction and Degrees Offered)

15. Respondent's application is subject to denial under CCR, title 5, section 71710, subdivisions (a), (b), and (c)(6); and section 71715, subdivision (d)(3), in that Respondent was unable to document that Respondent is offering instruction in those subject areas that are necessary for a student to achieve the educational objectives of the educational program in which the student is enrolled. Additionally, syllabi submitted lack a sequential and detailed outline of subject matter to be addressed or a list of skills to be learned and how those skills are to be measured.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL

(Instruction and Degrees Offered)

16. Respondent's application is subject to denial under CCR, title 5, section 71710, subdivisions (c)(2) and (e); and section 71715, subdivision (b), in that Respondent did not document that curriculum includes specific learning outcomes tied to the sequence of the presentation of the material to measure the students' learning of the material. Articulated objectives for each program overlap greatly between programs; there is little differentiation between programs despite their distinctly different titles and associated intended career outcomes. Program objectives do not clearly correspond to content referenced in the descriptions of modules found in the catalog. Which objectives are addressed will depend on which modules a student

1	completes, and which modules are taken by each student is dictated wholly by where their
2	enrollment date places them on the schedule and the length of the program they select.
3	Respondent did not document that the instruction offered leads to the achievement of the learning
4	objectives of each course.
5	THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL
6	(Instruction and Degrees Offered)
7	17. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code section 94898, subdivision
8	(a), in that Respondent is merging classes in which not all students have received the same
9	amount of instruction, and in a manner that impairs the students' learning of the subject matter.
10	This merging is negatively impacting the students' learning of the subject matter necessary for the
11	achievement of learning objectives in four distinctly different programs.
12	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL
13	(Description of Educational Program)
14	18. Respondent's application is subject to denial under CCR, title 5, section 71715 (d)(6),
15	(7) and (8), in that formal evaluations of students are not timely completed, in compliance with
16	the institution's stated policy, or consistently recorded. Additionally, Respondent was unable to
17	provide documentation to support grades received by students for each completed module.
18	FIFTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL
19	(Description of Educational Program)
20	19. Respondent's application is subject to denial under CCR, title 5, section 71710,
21	subdivisions (e) and (f) in that Respondent student achievement of program-specific learning
22	outcomes is not appropriately measured or evaluated. The culminating final exam appears to be
23	the same for all four currently offered programs, and this singular culminating exam cannot
24	effectively measure learning outcomes for programs of such varying lengths and stated career
25	outcomes.
26	
27	
28	///
1	

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL

(Description of Educational Program)

20. Respondent's application is subject to denial under CCR, title 5, subdivision 71715, subdivision (d)(5) in that Respondent does not maintain clear standards for satisfactory academic progress. Respondent was unable to document recorded mid-point grades, evaluations or communications to students regarding their progress.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL

(Description of Educational Program)

21. Respondent's application is subject to denial under CCR, title 5, section 71715, subdivision (d)(5), (6) and (7); and section 71710, subdivision (f), in that Respondent does not reference graded assignments, or the use of a rubric or other written feedback, and hence there is no documented way to calculate whether a student is achieving a cumulative grade point average of at least 70% (2.0) as Respondent purports to require.

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL

(Description of Educational Program)

22. Respondent's application is subject to denial under CCR, title 5, section 71710, subdivision (c)(3), in that Respondent misrepresents the programs' lengths in the syllabi embedded in the catalog and in the catalog program descriptions. Specifically, hour-long lunches taken during weekly Saturday classes are not subtracted from the represented hours of instruction.

NINTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL

(Faculty)

23. Respondent's application is subject to denial under CCR, title 5, section 71405, in that Respondent failed to immediately notify the Bureau in writing of any material change in circumstances affecting any information contained in the application. Specifically, Respondent failed to notify the Bureau that M.M. has been instructing new students in breakout sessions and occasionally substituting for somewhere between 1.5 – 3 years. Respondent never provided M.M.'s credentials, qualifications, or contract to the Bureau, and M.M. does not appear in

Respondent's catalog. M.M. was not referenced in any of Respondent's renewal application 1 materials. 2 3 TENTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL (Faculty) 4 24. Respondent's application is subject to denial under CCR, title 5, section 71720, 5 subdivision (b) and section 71250, in that Respondent failed to provide the Bureau with contracts 6 7 for identified faculty assigned to teach Aromatherapist and Master Herbal Practitioner programs, 8 and failed to provide documentation for instructor M.M. ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL 9 (Catalog) 10 25. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code section 94909, subdivision 11 (a)(7), in that instructor M.M., who had been an instructor of new student breakout sessions and 12 occasionally substituting regular class sessions for 1.5-3 years, was omitted from Respondent's 13 catalog. 14 TWELFTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL 15 (Catalog) 16 17 26. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code section 94909, subdivision (a)(5), in that the requirements for completion of each program, including required courses and 18 accurate disclosures of the total number of clock hours for each program are lacking in the 19 20 catalog. Programs do not communicate which modules are required due to the lack of fixed requirements. Additionally, program lengths are misrepresented in the catalog as hour-long 21 22 lunches taken during weekly Saturday classes are not subtracted from the represented hours of 23 instruction in the catalog and program descriptions. /// 24 111 25 /// 26 111 27 111 28

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs issue a

- Denying the application of California Institute of Healing Arts and Sciences, owned by 121 Venus Corporation, Carol DiGirolamo as President for an Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate and offer Educational Programs for Non-Accredited Institutions; and
 - Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

Chief, Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education Department of Consumer Affairs