1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California		
2	DIANN ŠOKOLOFF		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General CARTER OTT		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 221660		
5	1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor P.O. Box 70550	"	
6	Oakland, CA 94612-0550 Telephone: (510) 622-2219		
7	Facsimile: (510) 622-2270 E-mail: Carter.Ott@doj.ca.gov		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues	Case No. 999531	
13	Against:		
14	ACCELERATED TECHNICAL TRAINING INSTITUTE; GENE KELLY,	STATEMENT OF ISSUES	
15	50% Owner; and PAULA KELLY, 50% Owner	STITE NAME OF ASSOCIA	
16	Application for Approval to Operate an Institution Non-Accredited Applicant		
17	Respondent.	·	
18			
19			
20	Complainant alleges:		
21	<u>PARTIES</u>		
22	1. Joanne Wenzel (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official		
23	capacity as the Chief of the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education, Department of		
24	Consumer Affairs.		
25	2. On or about March 15, 2012, the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education		
26	(Bureau) received an Application for Approval to Operate an Institution Non-Accredited		
27	(application number 24574) from Accelerated Technical Training Institute; Gene Kelly, 50%		
28			
		1	

Owner; and Paula Kelly, 50% Owner (Respondent). On or about September 14, 2012, the Bureau issued a deficiency letter to Respondent explaining the deficiencies in its application.

3. On or about June 21, 2013, the Bureau issued to Respondent an additional letter explaining the deficiencies in its application. On or about November 25, 2013, the Bureau received an addendum to the Application from Respondent. On or about January 15, 2014, the Bureau issued to Respondent a Notice of Denial of Application for Approval to Operate. On or about March 10, 2014, Respondent sent the Bureau a letter requesting an administrative hearing.

JURISDICTION

4. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs (Director) for the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Education Code unless otherwise indicated.

STATUTORY & REGULATORY PROVISIONS¹

5. Code section 94834 states:

"Distance education' means transmission of instruction to students at a location separate from the institution."

6. Code section 94838 states:

"Educational program approval' means authorization by the bureau, another government agency of this state, or a federal government agency, to provide educational programs, and is an element of an approval to operate."

7. Code section 94887 states:

"An approval to operate shall be granted only after an applicant has presented sufficient evidence to the bureau, and the bureau has independently verified the information provided by the applicant through site visits or other methods deemed appropriate by the bureau, that the

¹ The California Private Postsecondary Education Act of 2009 was recently amended, effective January 1, 2015. *See* Senate Bill No. 1247. This Statement of Issues is based on the preamendment version of the Act.

applicant has the capacity to satisfy the minimum operating standards. The bureau shall deny an application for an approval to operate if the application does not satisfy those standards."

8. Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71250 states:

"The Form Application 94886 shall include a statement that the institution has contracted with sufficient duly qualified faculty members who meet the qualifications of section 71720."

9. Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71700 states:

"The Bureau may request that an institution document compliance with the standards set forth in the Act and this Division to obtain and maintain an approval to operate."

10. Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71710 states, in part:

"In order to meet its mission and objectives, the educational program defined in section 94837 of the Code shall be comprised of a curriculum that includes:

"..

- "(c) course or module materials that are designed or organized by duly qualified faculty.

 For each course or module, each student shall be provided with a syllabus or course outline that contains:
 - "(1) a short, descriptive title of the educational program;
 - "(2) a statement of educational objectives;
 - "(3) length of the educational program;
 - "(4) sequence and frequency of lessons or class sessions;
 - "(5) complete citations of textbooks and other required written materials;
- "(6) sequential and detailed outline of subject matter to be addressed or a list of skills to be learned and how those skills are to be measured;
 - "(7) instructional mode or methods.

- 11. Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71715, subdivision (d), states, in part:
- "(d) Distance education as defined in section 94834 of the Code, does not require the physical presence of students and faculty at the same location but provides for interaction between students and faculty by such means as telecommunication, correspondence, electronic

- a. Respondent failed to provide a list of duly qualified faculty to teach CMTT Level I and II. Instead, Respondent stated that the faculty will "be retained contingent upon approval by the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education." (Cal. Educ. Code § 94887; Cal. Code Regs., title 5, §§ 71250 and 71720, subds. (b)(1) and (b)(2)).
- b. The Bureau was unable to determine from Respondent's application if the faculty (Trade Professionals) that may be actually employed by Respondent met the minimum qualification for "duly qualified faculty" because Respondent failed to submit resumes, CMTT or NCCER certificates, transcripts for continuing education courses and degrees for the following faculty members listed in Respondent's catalog: G.B. (Plumbing), W.W. (Locksmith), M.C. (Electrical), R.R. (Welding), and D.H. (Machining).² (Cal. Educ. Code § 94887; Cal. Code Regs., title 5, § 71720, subds. (b)(1) and (b)(2)).
- c. The Bureau was unable to determine if the faculty (Trade Professionals) listed by Respondent in its application are actually employed by Respondent. Respondent failed to submit contract agreements for the following faculty members listed in Respondent's catalog: G.B. (Plumbing), W.W. (Locksmith), M.C. (Electrical), R.R. (Welding), and D.H. (Machining). (Cal. Educ. Code § 94887; Cal. Code Regs., title 5, § 71250).

THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION (Catalog)

(Cal. Educ. Code § 94887; Cal. Code Regs., title 5, §§ 71810, subds. (b)(6) and (b)(15))

- 16. Respondent's application is subject to denial because it fails to include the requisite information in its proposed catalog. Respondent has therefore failed to provide evidence that it has the capacity to meet the minimum operating standards. In particular:
- a. Respondent's proposed catalog does not contain the institution's policies-and-practices, including required disclosures regarding any form of financial aid program. (Cal. Educ. Code § 94887; Cal. Code Regs., title 5, § 71810, subd. (b)(6)).

² In the interests of privacy, proposed faculty members' initials, rather than full names, have been used. These individuals' full names were included in the Notice of Denial of Application for Approval to Operate.

b. The policy on retention of student records, on page 59 of Respondent's proposed catalog, is not in compliance with Education Code section 94900. Respondent's proposed catalog states that "transcripts will be maintained permanently," but failed to add that student's certificates will also be maintained permanently. (Cal. Educ. Code § 94887; Cal. Code Regs., title 5, § 71810, subd. (b)(15)).

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Distance Education)
(Cal Educ. Code §§ 94834 and 94887;
Cal. Code Regs., title 5, § 71715, subds. (d)(1), (3), and (4))

- 17. Respondent's application is subject to denial because it fails to include evidence that its educational program CMTT Level I complies with legal requirements. (Cal Educ. Code §§ 94834 and 94887; Cal. Code Regs., title 5, § 71715, subds. (d)(1), (3), and (4)). Respondent has therefore failed to provide evidence that it has the capacity to meet the minimum operating standards. In particular:
- a. On page seven (7) of Respondent's proposed catalog, Respondent disclosed that "the videos in this course are to be used for developing trade knowledge prior to learning and/or practicing hands-on application." But Respondent proposes to issue a certificate certifying that a student "has successfully completed a comprehensive program of study in the following seven (7) trades plus Core Curriculum: Plumbing, Locksmithing, Carpentry, Masonry, Electrical, Welding and Machining." According to the disclosure, the videos do not deliver or provide the hands-on practice necessary for application. (Cal. Educ. Code § 94887; Cal. Code Regs., title 5, § 71715, subd. (d)(1)).
- b. The Bureau was unable to determine if faculty (Trade Professionals) that may be actually employed by Respondent meet the minimum qualification as "duly qualified faculty" competent in distance education techniques and able to use readily available, reliable technology. Respondent failed to submit resumes (which contain faculty experience teaching and developing distance education), CMTT or NCCER certificates, transcripts for continuing education courses and degrees. (Cal. Educ. Code § 94887; Cal. Code Regs., title 5, § 71715, subd. (d)(3)).

1	c. Respondent's distance education does not provide computer augmented	
2	services for students and faculty to have meaningful interactions. In response to Code of	
3	Regulations, title 5, section 71715, subdivision (d)(4), Respondent submitted the definition	
4	developed by an accrediting agency, Distance Education and Training Council (DETC).	
5	Respondent implied that, since DETC's definition does not have a requirement of "providing	
6	meaningful interaction," it is not necessary for Respondent to implement such a component.	
7	(Cal. Educ. Code § 94887; Cal. Code Regs., title 5, § 71715, subd. (d)(4)).	
8	<u>PRAYER</u>	
9	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,	
10	and that following the hearing the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs issue a	
11	decision:	
12	1. Denying the Application for Approval to Operate an Institution Non-Accredited of	
13	Accelerated Technical Training Institute; Gene Kelly, 50% Owner; and Paula Kelly, 50% Owner;	
14 .	and	
15	2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
16	DATED: 3/11/19	
17	JOANNE WENZEL Chief	
18	Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education Department of Consumer Affairs	
19	State of California Complainant	
20		
21	SF2014902503	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		